# Routing #2

Spring 2024 <u>cs168.io</u>

Rob Shakir

#### Last Time

- Talked about what a router *is* and why we need/want them.
- Defined routing and forwarding.
- Thought about what makes routing *valid*
- Demonstrated human-based routing and forwarding.

# Plan for today

- Types of routing protocols.
- More about *Distance-Vector* routing protocols.

# Inter-domain and Intra-domain routing

• The Internet does not have a single giant routing protocol.

## Inter-domain and Intra-domain routing

- The Internet does not have a single giant routing protocol.
- The Internet is a network of networks.
  - How we route traffic on one may not be the best way on another (why?)

# Inter-domain and Intra-domain routing

- The Internet does not have a single giant routing protocol.
- The Internet is a network of networks.
  - How we route traffic on one may not be the best way on another (why?)
  - Networks differ!
    - Physical size, number of hosts, number of routers, bandwidth, latency, failure rate, topology, support staff size, when they were built, \$ available...
- So...
  - Let individual networks choose how to route *inside* their network (intradomain)
  - ...have all networks agree on how to route *between* each other (inter-domain)

# **Intra-Domain Routing**

- ~Within a single network.
  - Technically an "autonomous system".
  - Run by one operator.
  - Some different protocol requirements reachability to all different nodes, and to use all capacity efficiently.
  - Base protocols are often called *Interior Gateway Protocols* or IGPs.
    - A number are used actively today OSPF, IS-IS are the most common.

# Inter-domain Routing

- Routing between networks.
  - Between autonomous systems really.
  - Used to make many networks into the Internet.
  - Protocols are called Exterior Gateway Protocols (EGPs).
  - There is only one all ASes must agree.
- The Internet has used BGP since the 1990s.

# Choosing Routing Protocols

- Interior and Exterior (intra- and inter-domain) is a convenient shorthand.
- In practice, the lines are more blurred.
  - BGP is used inside some networks as well as at the edges.
- Comes down to what information needs to be propagated and what type of routing decision is needed.
  - We'll cover BGP in more depth later.
- We'll understand the general difference between *Distance-Vector* and *Link-State* protocols.

• We said we wanted "good" routes.

- We said we wanted "good" routes.
- Goal #1: Routes that work.
  - $\circ$  No loops, no dead-ends.

- We said we wanted "good" routes.
- Goal #1: Routes that work.
  - No loops, no dead-ends.
- Goal #2: Routes that are in some way "good".
  - Commonly done by *minimising* some metric, which we might call cost.
  - Hence *least-cost* routing.

- We said we wanted "good" routes.
- Goal #1: Routes that work.
  - No loops, no dead-ends.
- Goal #2: Routes that are in some way "good".
  - Commonly done by *minimising* some metric, which we might call cost.
  - Hence *least-cost* routing.
- What did we minimise in the activity last time?

- We said we wanted "good" routes.
- Goal #1: Routes that work.
  - No loops, no dead-ends.
- Goal #2: Routes that are in some way "good".
  - Commonly done by *minimising* some metric, which we might call cost.
  - Hence *least-cost* routing.
- What did we minimise in the activity last time?
  - Number of people who handled the envelope the *hop count*

• What else might we minimise?

- What else might we minimise?
  - Price
  - Propagation delay
  - Distance
  - Unreliability
  - Bandwidth constraints
- Metrics can be arbitrarily chosen.
  - We can generically refer to this as "cost".

• For a specific network topology, say...



- For a specific network topology, say...
- A cost is associated with each edge.



- For a specific network topology, say...
- A cost is associated with each edge.
- And we find the path with the smallest sum



 $R5 \rightarrow R3$  Cost: 12

R5→R3 Cost: 12 R5→R3 Cost: 5

- For a specific network topology, say...
- A cost is associated with each edge.
- And we find the path with the smallest sum



R5→R3 Cost: 12 R5→R3 Cost: 5

- For a specific network topology, say...
- A cost is associated with each edge.
- And we find the path with the smallest sum

- In our activity:
  - Every edge had a cost of 1
  - Hence we minimised for the fewest edges
  - $\circ \Rightarrow$  fewest number of hops.
- Generally, if an edge cost is not given, assume 1.



## Where do the costs come from?

- Local to a router.
  - $\circ$  Each router knows the cost of its own links.
- Costs are always positive integers.
  - Can't traverse an edge and make a path cheaper!
- Costs are almost always symmetrical.
  - $\circ$  A $\rightarrow$ B generally costs the same as B $\rightarrow$ A.
  - Some rare exceptions.
- In practice, generally configured by an operator.
- Some protocols allow for autoconfiguration.

#### Are least cost routes good routes?

- Least-cost routes are an easy way to avoid loops.
  - $\circ$  ~ No (sensible) metric is minimised by traversing a loop.
- Least-cost routes are destination based.
- They form a spanning tree.

# "Simple" Route Types

#### "Connected"/"Direct" Routes

- Sometimes we need to be able to route to things that we're actually connected to directly.
- Host A is directly connected to router 1.
  - These routes are created simply because we tell a router something about its configuration.
- Often created manually by operators.

#### "Static" Routes

- Routes that we aren't necessarily directly connected to but we always want to be there.
- "Static" because they don't change and there's no routing protocol used to discover them.
- Again, often manually created by an operator.

# **Distance-Vector Routing**

#### **Distance-Vector Routing Protocols**

- Long history on the Internet and ARPANET.
- The prototypical D-V protocol is RIP.
- Strong relationship to the Bellman-Ford shortest path algorithm.
  - Our exercise was a version of Bellman-Ford.
  - With some tweaks to make it a useful routing protocol.
- We'll talk about how such a protocol actually works today.





return distance, nexthop



cost








- The same core approach as Bellman-Ford.
- Thinking about your table...

Your Table		
Dst	NextHop, Distance	
Sarah	Person in front of me, 14	

Your Table		
Dst	NextHop, Distance	
Sarah	Person in front of me, 14	

- Person to your left tells you "I can reach Sarah in 7".
  - We call this communication **advertising a route** with distance/cost = 7.
- You updated your table...
  - With the cost + 1 (distance to Sarah, plus the distance to your neighbour)
  - $\circ$  If the cost was less than the one in your table.

Your Table		
Dst	NextHop, Distance	
Sarah	Person in front of me, 14 Person to my left, 8	

- Person to your left tells you "I can reach Ian in 7".
  - We call this communication **advertising a route** with distance/cost = 7.
- You updated your table...
  - With the cost + 1 (distance to Ian, plus the distance to your neighbour)
  - If the cost was less than the one in your table.

Your Table		
Dst	NextHop, Distance	
Sarah	<del>Person in front of me, 14</del> Person to my left, 8	

- Person in front tells you, "I can reach Rachel in 3".
  - Rachel?

Your Table	
NextHop, Distance	
<del>Person in front of me, 14</del> Person to my left, 8	
Person in front of me, 4	

- Add a new row (for a new destination) Rachel.
- Using the same cost logic.
  - Cost to Rachel plus the distance to your neighbour = 3+1 = 4
- We can keep doing this for all destinations we hear about.















Only R1 needs to know its own next hop!









Dst	Nxt,Cost
А	R1,2



Dst	Nxt,Cost
А	R1,2



Dst	Nxt,Cost
А	R1,2



Dst	Nxt,Cost
А	R1,2





Dst	Nxt,Cost
А	R1,2



# Questions?

- Our logic for when to update a route:
  - If destination not in table -- add to table



- Our logic for when to update a route:
  - If destination not in table -- add to table
  - If current\_route\_distance > advertised\_distance + distance\_to\_neighbor -- replace current





- Our logic for when to update a route:
  - If destination not in table -- add to table
  - If current\_route\_distance > advertised\_distance + distance\_to\_neighbor -- replace current



- Our logic for when to update a route:
  - If destination not in table -- add to table
  - If current\_route\_distance > advertised\_distance + distance\_to\_neighbor -- replace current
  - If advertiser is current\_next\_hop -- replace current



D-V:

Is our D-V protocol reliable?







# Questions?













#### Huh?! A is local to R1?!

- What is the advantage in advertising a path back to the person who sent it you?
- Telling them about your entry *via them*:
  - Doesn't tell them anything new.
  - Misleads them into thinking you have an independent path.
## **D-V: Split Horizon**

- What is the advantage in advertising a path back to the person who sent it you?
- Telling them about your entry *via them*:
  - Doesn't tell them anything new.
  - Misleads them into thinking you have an independent path.
- Solution:
  - If you are using a next-hop's path for some destination don't advertise it to them.
  - Referred to as **Split Horizon**

# Questions?









Route costs on R2/R3 *count to infinity*! Solution: Pick a maximum value (e.g., 16) and stop there.





Each route only has a finite *Time To Live* (e.g., 21 seconds). Gets "recharged" by the periodic advertisements. If you don't get a periodic update (e.g., 10 seconds)... expire & remove route.











## How do we deal with changing topology? Link failures.

































#### **D-V:** Failures **Accepted this** 4 t=50 time! Nxt,Cost TTL Dst R1 A:1 R1 A:1 **R2 R3 R1** Α TTL Dst Nxt,Cost TTL Dst Nxt,Cost А Direct,1 \_\_\_\_





## Showing the absence of a route - poisoning.
















- Key idea:
  - Instead of just *not* advertising a route
  - .. actively advertise that you *don't* have a route
- Do this by advertising an impossibly high cost
  A "poison" route
- This route should propagate like other routes, poisoning the entry on any other router that was using it
- Can be much faster than waiting for timeouts!

- And this doesn't just work for timed advertisements...
- If you get a poison advertisement and it changes your table...
  - Will trigger you to send poison
  - Propagates dead routes as fast as they can reach and be processed by neighbor!
- .. can be much, *much* faster than waiting for timeouts!

• Besides expired routes, where else did we *not* advertise something?

- Besides expired routes, where else did we *not* advertise something?
  Split horizon!
- In split horizon, we had a route but chose not to advertise
  - Don't want to advertise a route back to router that advertised it to us!
  - Can lead to sending things backwards (or even looping)

- Besides expired routes, where else did we *not* advertise something?
  Split horizon!
- In split horizon, we had a route but chose not to advertise
  - Don't want to advertise a route back to router that advertised it to us!
  - Can lead to sending things backwards (or even looping)
- Instead of *not* advertising in this case... *advertise infinite cost* 
  - We call this *poison reverse*
  - Same exact idea as split horizon, but more aggressive







With split horizon, loopy state exists until expiration







With poison reverse, loopy state exists until next advertisement

- Poisoning and poison reverse...
- In both cases, without poisoning, you would have *not* sent a route
- Instead, *send an explicitly terrible route* (any other route will be better)
  - (And never forward using these terrible infinite-length routes.)

# D-V: More triggers

- We know that our table changing should trigger us to send an update
- Can be useful to handle other events too...

# D-V: More triggers

- We know that our table changing should trigger us to send an update
- Can be useful to handle other events too...
- Sometimes we can detect when a link becomes available
  - Immediately send new neighbor advertisements
  - $\circ$   $\quad$  No need to wait for timer

# D-V: More triggers

- We know that our table changing should trigger us to send an update
- Can be useful to handle other events too...
- Sometimes we can detect when a link becomes available
  - Immediately send new neighbor advertisements
  - No need to wait for timer
- Sometimes we can detect when a link fails
  - Immediately poison all table entries using that link
  - .. if there are any, advertise the newly poisoned ones!

## From B-F to D-V

- We refined our update rule
- We resolved some loopy problems with split horizon
- We ensured that we eventually converge instead of counting to infinity
- We made it robust to packet drops by advertising periodically
- We saw that we can adapt to new links easily
- We can identify failed links and dead routes by missing advertisements
- We can converge faster by explicitly signaling the absence of a route
- We can adapt more quickly by advertising when "triggered" by events
- This is now a pretty good routing protocol!

# Next Time

- Other types of routing protocols *Link State.*
- Thus far addressing has been an abstract concept.
- How do we address hosts on the Internet?
  - IPv4, IPv6.
- How do we avoid the need to advertise every single host in routing protocols?