1 Distance-Vector Routing

The nodes in the above network share routes with each other using distance-vector routing. Below are the initial routing tables for each node, and a table showing the costs for each of their neighboring links. Links without a distance provided have an implicit distance of 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S1</th>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>Dest.</td>
<td>NextHop, Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>Dest.</td>
<td>NextHop, Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Dest.</td>
<td>NextHop, Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following questions indicate events that happen consecutively. You can assume that no other events occur other than the ones specified. Note that all blanks may not be necessary.

**EVENT: S3 advertises its routes to S1 and S4.**

(1) What do the routing tables for S1 and S4 look like after receiving S3's routes? (You may not need to fill in all the rows)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S1</th>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>Dest.</td>
<td>NextHop, Dist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(2) Which nodes among S1 and S4 are expected to advertise their routes after receiving S3's routes? (Assuming the advertising routes on a routing table change optimization is being used)
EVENT: $S_1$ advertises its routes to $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_4$.

(3) What do the routing tables for $S_2$, $S_3$, and $S_4$ look like after receiving $S_1$'s routes? (You may not need to fill in all columns)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVENT: $S_4$ advertises its routes to $S_1$ and $S_3$. EVENT: $S_1$ advertises its routes to $S_2$ and $S_4$.

(4) At this point, what path does $S_2$ use to reach $D$, and what is the cost?

EVENT: $S_3$ advertises its routes to $S_1$ and $S_4$.

(5) What do the routing tables for $S_1$ and $S_2$ look like now?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Split Horizon and Poisoned Reverse

All unlabeled links have a cost of 1. The parts of the question do not build on each other.

(1) Assume that the routers use **split horizon**. Say that $S_4$ advertises $(A: 2, C: 1)$ to $S_3$. Assuming that $S_3$ has received no other advertisements, what does $S_3$ now tell $S_4$ about $S_3$'s path to $A$?

(2) Assume that the routers use **poisoned reverse**. Routing tables have not converged and $S_3$ believes its shortest path to $A$ is through $S_1$ (this path is $S_3$-$S_1$-$S_2$ of length 4). $S_3$ advertises its routes to $S_4$. Now, $S_4$ advertises to $S_3$. $S_4$ bases this advertisement off of it’s routing table which has: $(B: 2, A: 2, C: 1)$. After recomputing its routes, $S_3$ advertises its routes to $S_4$. What is the advertised distance to $A$?
(3) Consider the simple topology (A-S1-S2-S3). After the routing tables have converged, link S1-S2 goes down. When S2 advertises to S3 (A: ∞), is this an act of **poisoning a route** or **poisoned reverse**?

(4) **Poisoning a route** and **poisoned reverse** might sound similar, but actually we can think of one of them as being “honest” while the other one is “lying.” Which one tells the truth, and which one tells a white lie to keep the network functioning?

3 Count to Infinity (Guided Q)
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(1) For part 1 of this question there is no split-horizon or poisoned reverse, and advertisements are only sent periodically (aka when it is explicitly stated).

(a) What do the routing tables look like once S1, S2 and S3 converge?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Direct, 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dest.</th>
<th>NextHop, Dist.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) What periodic advertisement will S1 and S2 send to each other? (One such message is given as an example)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>(Destination, Distance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>(A, 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVENT:** The link between S2 and S3 goes down.

(c) What will S1 and S2 send to each other?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>(Destination, Distance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVENT:** S2’s route to B finally expires
(d) After $S_1$ and $S_2$ exchange advertisements again, what will their routing tables look like?

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{Dest.} & \text{NextHop, Dist.} \\
\hline
A & \text{Direct, 1} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{Dest.} & \text{NextHop, Dist.} \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**EVENT:** $S_1$’s route to $B$ expires

(e) After $S_1$ and $S_2$ exchange advertisements again, what will their routing tables look like?

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{Dest.} & \text{NextHop, Dist.} \\
\hline
A & \text{Direct, 1} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{Dest.} & \text{NextHop, Dist.} \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

(f) Is this good?

(2) For part 2 of this question there is **split-horizon**, but no poisoned reverse and advertisements are only sent periodically (aka when it is explicitly stated). Also, all dropped links are back up and the routing state starts out converged!

(a) What will $S_1$ and $S_2$ send to each other after everything has converged?

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{From} & \text{To} & (\text{Destination, Distance}) \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**EVENT:** The link between $S_2$ and $S_3$ goes down.

(b) What will $S_1$ and $S_2$ send to each other?

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{From} & \text{To} & (\text{Destination, Distance}) \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

**EVENT:** $S_2$’s route to $B$ finally expires

(c) After $S_1$ and $S_2$ exchange advertisements again, what will their routing tables look like?

\[
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{Dest.} & \text{NextHop, Dist.} \\
\hline
A & \text{Direct, 1} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c|c|c|}
\text{Dest.} & \text{NextHop, Dist.} \\
\hline
\text{ } & \text{ } \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

(d) Will this end well?
For this problem, assume the network is running a link state routing protocol, minimizing total route latency. The following questions indicate events that happen consecutively.

1. After convergence, what route does Router D think its packet will take to Host H1?

   **EVENT:** Link GH goes down.

2. Router G and Router H have recomputed their routes, but have not yet sent updates to other routers. What route does Router D think its packet will take to Host H1?

3. What route does it actually take?

4. Assume all nodes are now aware of the new network state and have recomputed their routes. What route does a packet take from Router B to Host H2?

   **EVENT:** The cost of link AI increases to 100.

5. Router A and Router I recompute their routes, but have not yet sent updates to other routers. What route does Router A think its packet will take to Host H2?
(6) What route does it actually take?

(7) Which additional routers must receive the routing updates and recompute their routes for all routers to be able to successfully send packets to Host H2?

(8) All routers except Router B have received the routing updates and recomputed their routes. Which routers can successfully send packets to Host H2?

5 L3 Link State Routing Extra Problem

Suppose we have an L3 network with the topology shown above, and the routing algorithm used is link state.

1. After convergence, what is the path cost from H1 to H2, and what are all the possible paths with this cost?

2. Suppose that a control message (a message used by the routing algorithm) takes 1 second to propagate along a link, regardless of link cost. What individual link failure inside the network would cause the longest delay to reconvergence, and what is that delay?

3. Suppose you have the ability to take down individual nodes. Which nodes would you take down in order to partition the network? If you can’t partition the network, which nodes would you take down to increase path costs from H1 to H2 maximally? In each part, suppose you can take down:
   (a) a single node (excluding S and T).
   (b) two nodes (excluding S and T).

4. Which single link’s cost (if any) should you double in order to increase the path cost from H1 to H2?