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Last time:

- We narrowed our exploration of the design space to a CC solution that is based on:
  - Implemented only by end-hosts
  - Dynamic rate adjustment
  - Uses loss to detect congestion

Today: TCP CC

- An example of the above design
Plan

- Review TCP’s window-based operation
- Extending the above for CC
Review:

- Sender maintains a window of packets in flight

- Window size $W$ is picked to balance three goals
  - Take advantage of network capacity ("fill the pipe")
  - Avoid overloading the receiver (flow control)
  - Avoid overloading links (congestion control)
Review:

- Sender maintains a window of packets in flight

- Window size $W$ is picked to balance three goals
  - Take advantage of network capacity (“fill the pipe”)
  - Avoid overloading the receiver (flow control)
  - Avoid overloading links (congestion control)

- Flow control: sender maintains an advertised window; also called a receiver window (RWND)

- CC: sender maintains a congestion window (CWND)
All These Windows…

- **Congestion Window**: CWND
  - How many bytes can be sent without overloading links
  - Computed by the sender using CC algorithm

- **Flow control window**: RWND
  - How many bytes can be sent without overflowing the receiver’s buffers
  - Implemented by having the receiver tell the sender

- **Sender-side window** = \(\min\{\text{CWND, RWND}\}\)
  - Assume for this lecture that RWND > CWND
Note

- Recall: TCP operates on bytestreams
- Hence, real implementations maintain CWND in bytes
- This lecture will talk about CWND in units of MSS
  - MSS: Maximum Segment Size, the max number of bytes of data that one TCP packet can carry in its payload
  - This is only for pedagogical purposes
Sender maintains a **sliding** window of $W$ **contiguous** bytes.

Sender maintains a single timer, for the LHS of window

On timeout, sender retransmits the packet starting at $i$. 
Review:

Receiver sends cumulative ACKs; sender counts #dupACKs

**Fast Retransmit**: Sender retransmits when #dupACKs = 3

Sender slides window on receiving an ACK for new data (j > i)
Extending TCP with CC

- Add a congestion window parameter (CWND)
- Adapt CWND based on current congestion level

How do we adapt CWND?
- Last lecture: how sender adapts its transmission rate

In TCP, sender’s rate is simply CWND/RTT
- (Since we’re assuming RWND > CWND)

Adapting CWND every RTT \(\rightarrow\) adapting sender’s rate
Recall: how we adapt rate

- Detecting congestion
  - Loss-based
- Discovering an initial rate
  - Slow start
- Adapting rate to congestion (or lack thereof)
  - AIMD

What follows is all about how TCP implements the above theme: CWND updates driven by ACK arrivals ("ACK clocking")
ACK Clocking

- A new ACK advances the sliding window and lets a new data segment enter the network
  - I.e., ACKs “clock” data segments

- What’s the benefit of ACK clocking?
ACK Clocking

Src — 10Gbps — R1 — 1Gbps — R2 — 10Gbps — Dst
Consider: source sends a burst of packets
Packets are queued and “spread out” at slow link
ACKs maintain the spread on the return path
ACK Clocking

Sender clocks new packets with the spread

Now sending without queuing at the bottleneck link!
Recall: how we adapt rate

- Detecting congestion
  - Loss-based
- Discovering an initial rate
  - Slow start
- Adapting rate to congestion (or lack thereof)
  - AIMD

What follows is all about how TCP implements the above

Theme: CWND updates driven by ACK arrivals ("ACK clocking")
How TCP Detects Loss

- **3 duplicate ACKs**: typically indicates isolated loss
- **Timeout**: typically indicates loss of several packets
How TCP Implements Slow Start

- Sender starts at a slow rate; increases rate exponentially until first loss
- In TCP: start with a small CWND = 1 (MSS)
  - So, initial sending rate is MSS/RTT
- Then double CWND every RTT until first loss
- Implemented as: On each ACK: CWND += 1 (MSS)
Slow Start in Action

Goal: Double CWND every round-trip time

Simple implementation: On each ACK, CWND += 1 (MSS)
How TCP Implements Slow Start (contd.)

- Double CWND every RTT until first loss
- Introduce a “slow start threshold” parameter
  - SSTHRESH, used to remember last “safe” rate
- On first loss: SSTHRESH = CWND/2
Recall: how we adapt rate

- Detecting congestion
  - Loss-based
- Discovering an initial rate
  - Slow start
- Adapting rate to congestion (or lack thereof)
  - AIMD
AIMD in TCP

- Additive increase:
  - No loss $\rightarrow$ increase CWND by 1 MSS every RTT

- Multiplicative decrease
  - Loss detected by 3 dupACKs $\rightarrow$ divide CWND in half

- What about timeouts? Will exit AIMD (coming up)
Implementing Additive Increase

- Implementation works by adding a fraction of an MSS every time we receive an ACK.

- On receiving an ACK (for new data):
  - $\text{CWND} \rightarrow \text{CWND} + \frac{1}{\text{CWND}}$
  - $\text{CWND} \rightarrow \text{CWND} + \text{MSS} \times \frac{\text{MSS}}{\text{CWND}}$ if counting CWND in bytes

- NOTE: after full window, CWND increases by 1 MSS
  - Thus, CWND increases by 1 MSS per RTT.
Implementing Multiplicative Decrease

- On receiving 3\textsuperscript{rd} dupACK:
  \[ CWND \rightarrow \frac{CWND}{2} \]
On Timeout

- Rationale: lost multiple packets in a window
  - Current CWND may be way off
  - Hence, need to rediscover a good rate from scratch
  - Design decision that errs on the side of caution

- Hence, on timeout:
  - Retransmit first missing packet (as usual)
  - Set SSTHRESH $\leftarrow \frac{CWND}{2}$
  - Set CWND $\leftarrow$ 1 MSS & enter **Slow Start** mode
Slow-Start vs. AIMD

- When does a sender stop Slow-Start and start Additive Increase?
  - Determined by SSTHRESH

- When CWND > SSTHRESH, sender switches from slow-start to AIMD’s additive increase
Summary of Decrease

- Cut CWND in **half** on loss detected by dupACKs
- Cut CWND **all the way to 1 (MSS)** on timeout
- Never drop CWND below 1 (MSS)
Summary of Increase

- When in Slow-Start phase
  - Increase CWND by 1 MSS for each new ack

- When in AIMD phase
  - Increase by 1 (MSS) for each window’s worth of acked data
TCP Congestion Control Details

In what follows refer to CWND in units of MSS
Implementation

- **State at sender**
  - CWND (initialized to a 1 MSS)
  - SSTHRESH (initialized to a large constant)
  - dupACKcount (initialized to zero, as before)
  - Timer (as before)

- **Events at sender**
  - ACK (for new data)
  - dupACK (duplicate ACK for old data)
  - Timeout

- **What about receiver?**
  - Just send ACKs like before
Event: ACK (new data)

- If in slow start
  - $\text{CWND} += 1$ (MSS)

- CWND packets per RTT
- Hence after one RTT with no drops:
  \[ \text{CWND} = 2 \times \text{CWND} \]
Event: ACK (new data)

- If in slow start
  - CWND += 1 (MSS)

- Else
  - CWND = CWND + 1/CWND

- Plus the usual ...
  - Reset timer, dupACKcount
  - Send new data packets (if CWND allows)

**Slow start phase**

- CWND packets per RTT
- Hence, after one RTT with no drops:
  - CWND = CWND + 1

**“Congestion Avoidance” phase**

- (additive increase)
Event: TimeOut

- On Timeout
  - SSTHRESH $\leftarrow$ CWND/2
  - CWND $\leftarrow$ 1
  - And retransmit packet (as always)
Event: dupACK

- dupACKcount ++

- If dupACKcount = 3 /* fast retransmit */
  - SSTHRESH = CWND/2
  - CWND = CWND/2 (but never less than 1)
  - And retransmit packet (as always)

Remain in AIMD after fast retransmission…
Any Questions?
Time Diagram

Window

Slow start in operation until CWND crosses SSTHRESH
One Final Phase: Fast Recovery

- The problem: congestion avoidance too slow in recovering from an isolated loss

- This last feature is an optimization to improve performance
  - Bit of a hack, but effective
Example

- Again: counting packets, not bytes
  - If you want example in bytes, assume MSS=1000 and add three zeros to all sequence numbers

- Consider a TCP connection with:
  - CWND=10 packets
  - Last ACK was for packet # 101
    - i.e., receiver expecting next packet to have seq. no. 101

- 10 packets [101, 102, 103,…, 110] are in flight
  - Packet 101 is dropped
  - What ACKs do they generate and how does the sender respond?
Timeline (at sender)

In flight: 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110

- ACK 101 (due to 102) cwnd=10 dupACK#1 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 103) cwnd=10 dupACK#2 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 104) cwnd=10 dupACK#3 (no xmit)
- RETRANSMIT 101 ssthresh=5 cwnd= 5
- ACK 101 (due to 105) cwnd=5 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 106) cwnd=5 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 107) cwnd=5 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 108) cwnd=5 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 109) cwnd=5 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 110) cwnd=5 (no xmit)
- ACK 111 (due to 101) ➞ only now can we transmit new packets
- Plus no packets in flight so ACK “clocking” stalls for another RTT

Note that you do not restart dupACK counter on same packet!
Two Questions

- Do you understand the problem?
  - Have to wait a long time before sending again
  - When you finally send, you have to send full window

- How would you fix it?
Solution: Fast Recovery

Idea: Grant the sender temporary “credit” for each dupACK so as to keep packets in flight

- If dupACKcount = 3
  - SSTHRESH = CWND/2
  - CWND = SSTHRESH + 3

- While in fast recovery
  - CWND = CWND + 1 (MSS) for each additional duplicate ACK
  - This allows source to send an additional packet…
  - …to compensate for the packet that arrived (generating dupACK)

- Exit fast recovery after receiving new ACK
  - set CWND = SSTHRESH
Timeline (at sender)

In flight: 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110 101, 111, 112, ...

- ACK 101 (due to 102) cwnd=10 dupACK#1
- ACK 101 (due to 103) cwnd=10 dupACK#2
- ACK 101 (due to 104) cwnd=10 dupACK#3
- REXMIT 101 ssthresh=5 cwnd=8 (5+3)
- ACK 101 (due to 105) cwnd=9 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 106) cwnd=10 (no xmit)
- ACK 101 (due to 107) cwnd=11 (xmit 111)
- ACK 101 (due to 108) cwnd=12 (xmit 112)
- ACK 101 (due to 109) cwnd=13 (xmit 113)
- ACK 101 (due to 110) cwnd=14 (xmit 114)
- ACK 111 (due to 101) cwnd = 5 (xmit 115) ← exiting fast recovery
- Packets 111-114 already in flight (and now sending 115)
- ACK 112 (due to 111) cwnd = 5 + 1/5 ← back in congestion avoidance
Updated Event-Actions
Event: ACK (new data)

- If in slow start
  - CWND += 1 (MSS)

- If in fast recovery
  - CWND = SSTHRESH

- Else
  - CWND = CWND + 1/CWND

- Plus the usual...

Slow start phase

Leaving Fast Recovery

“Congestion Avoidance” phase (additive increase)
Event: dupACK

- dupACKcount ++

- If dupACKcount = 3 /* fast retransmit */
  - ssthresh = CWND/2
  - CWND = CWND/2 + 3
  - And retransmit packet

- If dupACKcount > 3 /* fast recovery */
  - CWND = CWND + 1 (MSS)
Next: TCP State Machine
TCP State Machine

- **slow start**: CWND > SSTHRESH
- **congestion avoidance**: timeout
- **fast recovery**: new ACK

Events:
- dupACK
- new ACK
- dupACK=3
- timeout
Many variants

- **TCP-Tahoe**
  - CWND =1 on triple dupACK

- **TCP-Reno**
  - CWND =1 on timeout
  - CWND = CWND/2 on triple dupack

- **TCP-newReno**
  - TCP-Reno + improved fast recovery

- **TCP-SACK**
  - incorporates “selective acknowledgements”
  - ACKs describe byte ranges received

---

**Our default assumption**
Interoperability

- How can all these algorithms coexist? Don’t we need a single, uniform standard?

- What happens if I’m using Reno and you are using Tahoe, and we try to communicate?

- What happens if I’m using Tahoe and you are using SACK?
Next Lecture

- Modeling TCP
- Advanced congestion control techniques